

**REMARKS**

This responds to the Office Action mailed on August 23, 2005, and the references cited therewith.

The specification has been amended to update the priority information.

**Double Patenting Rejection**

Claims 1-4 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15-18, 21-24, 27-30, 33-37 and 40-44 of U.S. Patent No. 6,607,599.

Applicant does not admit that the claims are obvious in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,607,599. However, a Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(b)(iv) is enclosed herewith to obviate this rejection.

**CONCLUSION**

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance, and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's attorney at (612) 373-6970 to facilitate prosecution of this application.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,

TONGBI JIANG ET AL.

By their Representatives,

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.  
P.O. Box 2938  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 373-6970

Date

Nov 22, 2005

By

Charles E. Steffey

Charles E. Steffey  
Reg. No. 25,179

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 22 day of November, 2005.

Name

KATE GANNON

Signature

Kate G